

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/557,696	BI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brian R. Gordon	1743		

All Participants:

(1) Brian R. Gordon.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Peter S. Dardi.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2004

Time: 10:40am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Dardi that the call was in response to status inquiry previously requested via voice mail by Mr. Dardi. The examiner informed Mr. Dardi that the after final amendment filed February 18, 2004, had been received and would be entered and considered by the examiner. The examiner would also further discuss the issues with SPE..



Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700